UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,682	09/18/2006	David Paul Manson	M04B132	7131
71134 Edwards Vacuu	7590 11/12/201 im, Inc.	EXAMINER		
2041 MISSION SUITE 260	COLLEGE BOULEV	LETTMAN, BRYAN MATTHEW		
	ANTA CLARA, CA 95054			PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LORETTA.SANDOVAL@EDWARDSVACUUM.COM

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/573,682	MANSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bryan Lettman	3746			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 July 2010.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2006 and 01 February 2010 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of: 1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ■ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicants arguments, filed with the July 27, 2010 request for a pre-appeal brief review are persuasive. The 35 USC 102(e) rejections of claims 1, 12, 13 and 20 have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made below. Claims 1-20 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by PCT Publication WO2004/005720 to Stellnert.

Referring to claim 17, Stellnert discloses a pump comprising:

a vacuum pump (1) having a rotor element (18, 19) and a stator element (14a), and at least one fluid port (22);

means for monitoring the performance of the pump (1) (page 4, lines 18-20); means for receiving process data from a tool adapted to be evacuated by the pump (1) (page 4, lines 18-25);

Art Unit: 3746

means for calculating fluid flow characteristics required to compensate for the accumulation of deposits on the internal working surfaces of the pump (1) based on the monitored performance and the process data (page 5, lines 1-12); and

means for introducing into the pump (1) via the at least one port (22) and in accordance with the calculated characteristics, fluid for acting on deposits located on the element surfaces to enable the deposits to be removed therefrom (page 5, lines 14-28; page 8, lines 10-13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 12, 13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT Publication WO2004/005720 to Stellnert.

Referring to claim 1, Stellnert teaches a method of pumping comprising the steps of:

monitoring the performance of a pump (1) (page 4, lines 18-20);

receiving process data associated with a tool being evacuated by the pump (1) (page 4, lines 18-25);

calculating fluid flow characteristics required to compensate for the accumulation of deposits on the internal working surfaces of the pump (1) based on the monitored performance and the process data (page 5, lines 1-12); and

introducing fluid into the pumping mechanism in accordance with the calculated characteristics (page 5, lines 14-28; page 8, lines 10-13).

Page 4

Stellnert teaches the receipt of process data associated with, but not directly associated with, the tool being evacuated. However, it would be obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify the method taught by Stellnert by relocating the temperature sensor 6 into the tool being evacuated, in order to proactively determine when a condition of the pump will require the release of fluid (such as when the sensor senses a temperature that will enhance the accumulation of deposits within the pump).

Referring to claim 12, Stellnert teaches all the limitations of claim 1, as detailed above, and further teaches a method of pumping comprising the steps wherein:

the fluid flow characteristics are temperature (page 11, lines 19-23).

Referring to claim 13, Stellnert teaches all the limitations of claim 1, as detailed above, and further teaches a method of pumping comprising the steps wherein:

the fluid is introduced during normal operation of the pump (1) (page 4, lines 11-15).

Referring to claim 20, Stellnert teaches all the limitations of claim 1, as detailed above, and further teaches a method of pumping wherein:

the monitoring step comprises recording motor current of the pump (1) (page 11, lines 7-8, wherein the power consumption is directly related to the current; see also MPEP 2144.08, an electricity meter is a genus and a current meter is a species of that genus).

Art Unit: 3746

In the alternative, claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT Publication WO2004/005720 to Stellnert.

Stellnert teaches a pumping arrangement comprising:

a vacuum pump (1) having a rotor element (18, 19) and a stator element (14a), and at least one fluid port (22);

means for monitoring the performance of the pump (1) (page 4, lines 18-20); means for receiving process data from a tool adapted to be evacuated by the pump (1) (page 4, lines 18-25);

means for calculating fluid flow characteristics required to compensate for the accumulation of deposits on the internal working surfaces of the pump (1) based on the monitored performance and the process data (page 5, lines 1-12); and

means for introducing into the pump (1) via the at least one port (22) and in accordance with the calculated characteristics, fluid for acting on deposits located on the element surfaces to enable the deposits to be removed therefrom (page 5, lines 14-28; page 8, lines 10-13).

Stellnert does not teach a means for receiving process data directly from the tool being evacuated. However, it would be obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify the arrangement taught by Stellnert by relocating the temperature sensor 6 into the tool being evacuated, in order to proactively determine when a condition of the pump will require the release of fluid (such as when the sensor senses a temperature that will enhance the accumulation of deposits within the pump).

Art Unit: 3746

Claims 2, 3, 7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT Publication WO2004/005720 to Stellnert in view of U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0034880 to Sakai.

Referring to claims 2 and 3, Stellnert teaches all the limitations of claim 1, as detailed above, but does not teach a halogen or fluorinated fluid. Sakai teaches a method wherein:

a fluid comprises a fluorinated gas which is a halogen (paragraph [0084], lines 18-21).

It would be obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify the pumping method taught by Stellnert with the gas taught by Sakai in order to provide a fluid with properties advantageous to the capture of loose particles (Sakai paragraph [0084]).

Referring to claims 7 and 18, Stellnert and Sakai teach all the limitations of claim 2, as detailed above, and Stellnert further teaches a method wherein:

a second fluid is also introduced to the pump (1), this second fluid being inert purge gas (page 14, lines 24-28); and

the second fluid is introduced after injection of the first fluid has terminated (page 14, lines 24-28).

Art Unit: 3746

Claims 4, 5, 6, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT Publication WO2004/005720 to Stellnert in view of U.S. Patent 5,718,565 to Kuhn.

Referring to claims 4, 5 and 6, Stellnert teaches all the limitations of claim 1, as detailed above, but does not teach an inert purge gas. Kuhn teaches a method wherein:

a fluid comprises inert purge gas (col. 2, lines 50-54) at an elevated pressure.

It would be obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify the pumping method taught by Stellnert with the gas taught by Kuhn in order to provide a fluid which will not react with the pump components or entrained debris. Furthermore a purge gas is inherently at an elevated pressure, commonly at a pressure slightly above atmospheric pressure, such as of 2000 mbar, as it would not otherwise be capable of displacing the volume to be purged.

Referring to claim 16, Stellnert teaches all the limitations of claim 1, as detailed above, but does not teach measuring the pressure at the exhaust. Kuhn teaches a method wherein:

the monitoring step comprises recording pressure at the exhaust of the pump (col. 3, lines 47-52).

Application/Control Number: 10/573,682

Art Unit: 3746

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT Publication WO2004/005720 to Stellnert in view of U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0034880 to Sakai and U.S. Patent 6,224,326 to Puech.

Page 8

Referring to claim 8, Stellnert and Sakai teach all the limitations of claim 7, as detailed above, but do not teach the introduction of gas at different locations. Puech teaches a method wherein:

fluids are introduced at different locations in the pump (col. 4 lines 27-30).

It would be obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify the pumping method taught by Stellnert with the gas passages taught by Puech in order to provide a means for concurrently cleaning multiples stages.

Referring to claim 9, Stellnert, Sakai and Puech teach all the limitations of claim 7, as detailed above, and Stellnert further teaches a method wherein:

the first fluid is directed to the internal working surfaces of the pump (1) (shown in Fig. 2, page 6, lines 27-29).

Claims 10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT Publication WO2004/005720 to Stellnert in view of U. S. Patent Publication 2002/0034880 to Sakai, U. S. Patent 6,224,326 to Puech and U. S. Patent 5,718,565 to Kuhn.

Stellnert, Sakai and Puech teach all the limitations of claims 8 and 9, as detailed above, but do not teach directing a fluid to the seal. Kuhn teaches a method wherein:

a fluid is directed towards sealing components (15) of a pump (1) (col. 2, lines 50-54).

It would be obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify the pumping method taught by Stellnert with the seal taught by Kuhn in order to provide a means for cleaning the seal.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT Publication WO2004/005720 to Stellnert in view of U. S. Patent 4,995,794 to Wycliffe.

Stellnert teaches all the limitations of claims 1 and 13, as detailed above, but does not teach the introduction of gas through the exhaust section of the pump.

Wycliffe teaches a method of pumping wherein:

a fluid is introduced into an exhaust section (8) of a pump (shown in Figure wherein gas is introduced through 15); and

the fluid is introduced when the pump is off line (col. 12-15 wherein the pump goes offline when the valve 7 is closed).

It would be obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify the pumping method taught by Stellnert with the flow path taught by Wycliffe in order to prevent the build up of evacuated gas in the discharge conduit (Wycliffe col. 4, lines 54-61).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see filed July 27, 2010, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-16, 18 and 19 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in above.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan Lettman whose telephone number is (571) 270-7860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday between 9:00 am and 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746

/B. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 3746